Office Action Summan	Application No. 08/9597	1110	Applicant(s) Clemmer et al.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner Alton	Pryor		Group Art Uni	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover si	heet benea			address
Period for Response				•	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	IS SET TO EXPIRE	3	_ MONTH	H(S) FROM TH	E
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) d If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by Failure to respond within the set or extended period for response 	lays, a response within the	statutory mi	nimum of thi	irty (30) days will	be considered ti
Status				(00	3.0.0.
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/2	28/9+				
☐ This action is FINAL .					·
Since this application is in condition for allowance excaccordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle,	ept for formal matters, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.C	prosecuti 3. 213.	on as to t	he merits is c	losed in
Disposition of Claims					
X Claim(s)			is/are pe	ending in the a	polication
Of the above claim/s)	is/are pending in the application. is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
✓ Claim(s) 1-5			is/are al	lowed	consideration
$\begin{array}{c cccc} \text{Claim(s)} & 1-5 \\ \text{Claim(s)} & 9 18 \\ \text{Claim(s)} & 6-8, 10-17 \end{array}$			is/aro ro	iacted	
\times Claim(s) 6-8, 10-17			is/ara of	picated to	
Claim(s)			عاده مداد	njected to.	
Application Papers			equiren	ect to restrictio nent.	n or election
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw	vina Dovious DTO 040				
The proposed drawing correction, filed on			approved.		
The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj		ner.	approved.		
The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	,				
The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)					
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of received.	under 35 U.S.C. § 11 of the priority documen	9(a)-(d). nts have be	en		
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num received in this national stage application from the Ir	nber)nternational Bureau (P	CT Rule 1	7.2(a)\	·	
*Certified copies not received:					
attachment(s)				···································	
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper	No(s)	Intensia	u Qumma	ry, PTO-413	
Notice of References Cited, PTO-892				ry, P10-413 I Patent Applica	otion DTO ::
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-9	3 48			Applica	
Offi	ce Action Summary				
Parent and Madadark Origin	3PO: 1997-417-381/62710		· ————————————————————————————————————	Part of Par	$\overline{\gamma}$

Part of Paper No. __

Serial Number: 08/959748 Page 2

Art Unit: 1209

First Action on the Merits

Action Summary

Claims 1-5 are pending. Claims 1-5 are allowed. Claims 9,18 are rejected. Claims 6-8,10-17 are objected. Problems with title and abstract are cited.

Claim Objection under 37 CFR 1.75

Claims 6-8,16 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75© as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims have not been treated on the merits.

Claim Objection under 35 U.S.C. 112

Claims 10-15,17 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim 9.

Claim Rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 9,18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Swamer et al. (USPN 3,258,500) in view Tsuda et al. (WO 9321140 A1). Swamer teaches the synthesis of difluoromethane of about the same scope as the instant claims. Swamer teaches that vaporized reactants, dichloromethane and HF, are converted to difluoromethane in the presence of preheated Cr₂O₃ catalyst. See column 1 lines 11-16, lines 59-64, column 4 lines 50 and 51. He

Serial Number: 08/959748

Art Unit: 1209

Page 3

also teaches that fluorination reactions result in halogenated mixtures of the chlorinated hydrocarbon. See column 3 line 12, column 7 lines 2-8. Swamer does not teach an extensive purification of crude difluoromethane. Tsuda teaches the purification of difluoromethane of about the same scope as the instant claims except for acid scrubber/solid desiccant treatment followed by a third distillation step. Tsuda teaches the introduction of crude difluoromethane to a distillation column to separate product into a light and heavy component. The component containing difluoromethane is treated with alkali solution to remove acid matter. Tsuda teaches the use of distillation to separate crude difluoromethane into two layers (upper and lower liquid phases). The layer containing the product undergoes another distillation for further purification. Hence, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would purify difluoromethane using methods taught by Tsuda and would also employ acid scrubber/desiccant treatment follow by an additional distillation step if necessary.

There appears to be no reason for acid scrubber treatment followed by distillation unless excess caustic solution is used in the neutralization of the product. The neutralization of acid by base and vice versa is well known and could be repeated several times in an experiment to remove undesired base or acid. This is a common practice. Also, the use of desiccants for removing water from reaction products is well known and often practiced. A person of ordinary skill at the time the invention was made would employ these additional purification steps if necessary.

Serial Number: 08/959748 Page 4

Art Unit: 1209

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-5 are allowable. The prior art doe not teach claimed molar ratios in present invention.

Telephonic Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alton Pryor whose telephone number is (703) 308-4691. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jose Dees, can be reached on (703) 308-4628. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235

ANP

2/5/98

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

GROUP 1200